UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,587	01/31/2006	Roger Payassis	13580/1	7197
23838 KENYON & K	7590 02/21/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		HWU, DAVIS D		
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,587	PAYASSIS, ROGER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Davis D. Hwu	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate and polici	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/566,587 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell, Sr.

McConnell, Sr. discloses a fire fighting aircraft including in the fuselage a reservoir 28 of fire fighting liquid which includes a launcher 12 and a restriction plate 34 in the reservoir being vertically mobile so that it always restricts the quantity of fire fighting liquid inside the reservoir as recited. Having more than one reservoir would have been a matter of design choice since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art and placing the launcher in the front of a lower deck would also have been a matter of design choice since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the position of a device which is also recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art since the same function will still be carried out. The arrangement can also be used in a helicopter.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell, Sr. in view of Tomlinson.

Tomlinson teaches a fire fighting helicopter comprising a cockpit and a space 20 to receive an operator who operates a launcher 18 of fire fighting liquid. It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/566,587

Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of McConnell, Sr. by providing a space to the helicopter to hold an operator as taught by Tomlinson. Placing the space below the cockpit would have been a matter of design choice since it involves a mere change in the position of a device since such a modification would still carry out the intended function. The size of the space would also have been a matter of design choice since changes of a size of a component is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell, Sr. in view of Teichelman.

Teichelman teaches a means of raising or lowering a platform 34 using a screw 32 driven by a motor 31. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of McConnell, Sr. by providing two vertical screws to shift the plate as has been taught by Teichelman. The use of two screws would have been a matter of design choice since duplication of parts is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell, Sr. in view of Tomlinson and in further view of Teichelman.

Teichelman teaches a means of raising or lowering a platform 34 using a screw 32 driven by a motor 31. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of McConnell, Sr. and Tomlinson by providing two vertical screws to shift the plate as has been taught by Teichelman. The use of two screws would have been a matter of design choice since

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/566,587

Art Unit: 3752

duplication of parts is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in

the art.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-

4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can

be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status

of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/Davis D Hwu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752